

MEETING MINUTES

POLKEN

CHAIR: Dominik Kopczewski, Policy development manager at EuroGeographics

Date: 17 October 2018, Location: HELSINKI, Finland

AGENDA	Lead
1. Opening introduction	Chair and Mick Cory
2. PSI recast development and foreseen action plan	Neil Sutherland
3. Importance of the new European space programme regulation proposal – EG engagement	Francois Chirie
4. GDPR implementation in NLS Finland	Kristian Holman
5. E-IDAS regulation	Amalia Velasco
6. Integrated Geospatial Information Framework Document	Carol Aguis
7. INSPIRE related activities update	Ewa Surma
8. Round table discussion (participants report on given question on Copernicus capacities and GDPR implementation feedback)	All
9. AOB including workplan and new chair proposal	Chair

MAIN DISCUSSION POINTS

- Warm welcome by Dominik, introduction of the participants and agenda outline.

Mick also welcomed participants and raised importance of the Policy KEN, as we deal with technical issue but within existing policy context. We are mapping our activities following EU policy agenda which includes all geographical Europe, not only EU members. And representation is the one of the key pillars of the association. We want to develop coherent geospatial policy. ELS is moving forward, it was discussed at GA, particularly the clarification of EGHO role in it. It is clear that members don't want their organisation to become operational business, but some small data production activity was supported. Development of ELS is not sole responsibility of EuroGeographics, but it is the responsibility to its members to ensure its development. Main user of our data is EU and their institutions, as they have a money and legal competence. Authoritative data is topic of the current discussion and research, since we are aware that it has a different meaning all around.
- Neil introduced basic of the current PSI Directive, proposed changes and state of play of the ongoing ordinary legal procedure debate.

Please see Neil's presentation at: [PSI recast development and foreseen action plan](#)

JF: "When it comes to the position of services would that be considered as a dynamic data?"

NS: "Real time connected service will be difficult to exclude, we need to think about that, in terms of the way it is defined it might be."

Many members are making real time services, open and free, some charging as Sweden is.

FC: "The Parliament want to add the list of datasets, but is not realistic, 60% threshold very few members will be able to comply with it."

MC: "What would we say if that list (high -value dataset issue) would match UNGGIM core data list – It might be beneficial. Our line is to welcome the review and to suggest unintended consequences."

PGZ: "If delegated list become reality, when is the right time to start discussion?"

NS: "The discussion about what should appear in the list of high-value datasets will start in earnest once the Directive is adopted; preferably in a formal committee of the Member States to advise the Commission on implementing acts. (If it's a delegated act the Commission will consult Member State experts.) It's possible that Parliamentary amendments to incorporate the list as an annex to the Directive will be successful – in which case the debate will happen before December."

BK: "Now it is up to member states to have larger say, they are defining together which dataset is high value datasets. That will be the case if it is Implemented act instead of delegated act as proposed. Do you know if the report from the privacy side is taken into the account?"

NS: "It is reflected in some of the Parliament amendments."

3. Francois presented a draft Regulation on Space programme, with highlight on the space orientation of Copernicus and the role of in -situ data and the future governance of the programme. Please see Francois' representation at [Importance of the new European space programme regulation proposal – EG engagement](#)

Dominik informed us that EG draft position paper was circulated to INSPIRE KEN.

HU: "The Finnish meteorological institute is part of the Copernicus user forum and we are coordinating with them. Are we in time to provide core data for Copernicus?"

FC: "The process for the Core Reference Dataset is quick. It is suggested Members contact their national representatives in Copernicus to address the issue of Copernicus either duplicating or integrating national reference data."

4. Kristian presented process of the GDPR implementation in NLS, and results of the independent study on location data privacy.

Please see Kristian's presentation at: [GDPR Implementation In NLS Finland](#)

AV: "We have a lot of studies from the government that obliged us to have a system enabling tracking of who has a look to data (footprint). And only the owner or the person having legal interest, such as neighbour, is entitled to have an insight to our physical data?"

BK: "To what extent is obliged, that report is a just a guideline?"

KH: "It is not the source we have to follow, but should be considered, it might become legislation. It is not the question if it is personal data or not, but to work to enable processing."

Nordic countries cooperation will take place in creation a new Geographical law and will include processing of the location data.

5. Amalia presented e-trust Regulation.

Please see Amalia's presentation at: [E-IDAS regulation](#)

6. Carol presented Integrated Geospatial Information Framework and informed us on a call for action asking members whether they are interested in being a 'funder' and expert member who would like to focus on the implementation consultation or a 'volunteer' member who would like to be involved in developing a national action plan. Members who are interested in following up our 'call for action' to respond by sending an email to Carol Agius and Dominik Kopczewski by November 9.

Please see Carol's presentation at: [Integrated Geospatial Information Framework Document](#)

7. Ewa reported on MIG – P meeting.

Please see Ewa's presentation at: [INSPIRE related activities update](#)

PGZ: "High value datasets and PSI, e-reporting, Commission document on priority spatial datasets – we have to pull all this together, somebody has to take the ownership to place into the right platform. Is it Policy Ken, UNGGIM Europe, it is a big question we cannot discuss it now, but to think on how to coordinate in a better way.

FC: "Do you think Commission will connect PSI high value datasets and priority datasets from INSPIRE?"

ES: "Priority data sets from INSPIRE are strictly related to environmental domains: Air & Noise, Industry, Waste, Nature & Biodiversity, Water, Marine. If the PSI high value datasets will cover these themes then yes."

8. Round table discussion:

- IGN France/Francois:

IGNF is engaged in providing data for Copernicus in order to create synergies otherwise national reference data may be superseded by supra-national reference data in the future.

IGNF has appointed a DPO for several years.

- BKG Germany/PGZ:

BKG is heavily involved and quiet well established nationally and internationally in Copernicus programme; DPO is available, conducted a project, made an inventory.

- Sweden/JF:

Copernicus is supported and we provide reference data, re GDPR no new provision in term of processing location data.

- The Netherlands/BK:

Regarding GDPR - just appointed new DPO, in a kind of a transition process, at this stage awareness raising is in progress. Copernicus supported.

- Finland/HU:

Interested in Copernicus; GDPR implementation in term of location data was presented today by NLS lawyer who is also DPO officer.

- Spain/AV:

Provide data to Copernicus and use it for many users, thinking to have more sentinel data. GDPR - have a DPO but it is centralised with Ministry of finance.

- Hungary/TP:

Have a relevant in situ data of Copernicus, GDPR no DPO cadastral data is protected by national act which is stricter than GDPR.

- Poland/ES:

Have DPO and they are all trained, making inventory. Copernicus - we are involved somehow in some products, but not in the committee or user forum.

- UK/NS:

Not particularly tight to Copernicus, more generally interesting in the observation as a tool, Continuity of DPO; not particularly sensitive as don't have cadastre.

9. AOB

- CRD webinar will be in November.
- The option for the next meeting is back to back with NSDI Balkan region conference in Sarajevo (end of May or early June 2019), or back to back to Leuven ExGA.
- Authoritative data research is in hand.

ATTENDEES

1. Dominik Kopczewski, Chair (DK)	dominik.kopczewski@eurogeographics.org
2. Mick Cory, EGHO (MC)	mick.cory@eurogeographics.org
3. Marjana Zelic, EGHO (MZ)	marjana.zelic@eurogeographics.org
4. Neil Sutherland, OS UK (NS)	Neil.Sutherland@os.uk
5. Carol Aguis, EGHO (CA)	carol.aguis@eurogeographics.org



Rue du Nord 76
Noordstraat 76
1000 Brussels,
Belgium

Tel: +32.2.888.71.93
Fax: +32.2.888.71.94
<http://www.eurogeographics.org/>

6. Francois Chirie, IGN France (FC)	Francois.Chirie@ign.fr
7. Amalia Velasco, Spain (AV)	amalia.velasco@catastro.minhap.es
8. Ewa Surma, GUGIK Poland (ES)	ewa.surma@gugik.gov.pl
9. Pier – Giorgio Zaccheddu, BKG Germany (PGZ)	pier-giorgio.zaccheddu@bkg.bund.de
10. Johanna Frojdenlund, LM Sweden (JS)	Johanna.frojdenlund@lm.se
11. Berny Kersten, Kadaster Netherland (BK)	berny.kersten@kadaster.nl
12. Heli Ursin, NLS Finland (HU)	heli.ursin@nls.fi
13. Kristian Holman, NLS Finland (KH)	kristian.holmen@maanmittauslaitos.fi
14. Marja Rantala, NLS Finland (MR)	marja.rantala@nls.fi
15. Tamas Palya, Hungary (TP)	palya.tamas@bfkh.gov.hu